

## **WRITTEN QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

### **SP-17-0069 Feasibility Study**

#### **ANSWERS ARE IN BLUE**

1. Question: (Section 2.4) "In addition, the vendor must provide recommendations for modifying the existing facilities and services to improve the value of the current operations." Is the State looking for conceptual implementation of these recommendations? In other words, sketches, diagrams, sections, etc. that demonstrate how the recommendations can be accomplished?

Answer: No

2. Question: Does the State see this as an in-depth benchmarking of existing conditions where there [their] stadium doesn't measure up to current standards or merely a listing of what the standards are and whether or not War Memorial seems deficient?

Answer: Yes, provide in-depth benchmarking

3. Question: (Section 2.5) "Provide a site analysis of the current War Memorial Stadium site and surrounding area as well as best and highest use opportunities for the site." Does this mean that our market/financial analysis phases should also include an analysis of mixed-use development opportunities around the stadium? Or are we simply meant to analyze the site/area in terms of its ability to support the ongoing operations of the stadium (i.e. parking, tailgating, highway access, ingress/egress, signage/wayfinding, etc.)?

Answer: See Addendum 1 for RFP section 2.5

4. Has the AR Department of Parks & Tourism established a budget for the project?

Answer: Yes